



Wylfa Newydd Project

6.8.5 ES Volume H - Logistics Centre H5 - Air quality

PINS Reference Number: EN010007

Application Reference Number: 6.8.5

June 2018

Revision 1.0

Regulation Number: 5(2)(a)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

[This page is intentionally blank]

Contents

5	Air quality	1
5.1	Introduction	1
5.2	Study area	1
5.3	Baseline environment	2
	<i>Identification of key air quality receptors.....</i>	<i>2</i>
	<i>Existing air quality.....</i>	<i>3</i>
	<i>Evolution of the air quality baseline</i>	<i>7</i>
	<i>Summary</i>	<i>7</i>
5.4	Design basis and activities	7
	<i>Construction.....</i>	<i>8</i>
	<i>Operation.....</i>	<i>11</i>
	<i>Decommissioning</i>	<i>11</i>
5.5	Assessment of effects.....	12
	<i>Construction.....</i>	<i>12</i>
	<i>Decommissioning</i>	<i>15</i>
5.6	Additional mitigation.....	16
5.7	Residual effects	16
5.8	References	16

[This page is intentionally blank]

5 Air quality

5.1 Introduction

- 5.1.1 This chapter describes the assessment of potential air quality effects resulting from the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Logistics Centre at Parc Cybi (hereafter referred to as the 'Logistics Centre').
- 5.1.2 The potential emission sources that are considered in this chapter include emissions of pollutants from construction plant and machinery and dust emissions. The chapter excludes the air quality effects associated with emissions from traffic during construction or operation of the Logistics Centre. These are considered in the assessment contained within chapter C4 (air quality effects of traffic) (Application Reference Number: 6.3.4), which covers project-wide effects of road traffic upon air quality.
- 5.1.3 Please refer to chapter B5 (air quality) (Application Reference Number: 6.2.5) for the technical basis for the assessment including a summary of legislation, policy and guidance; key points arising in consultation that have guided the air quality assessment; and assessment methodologies and criteria.
- 5.1.4 The chapter is supported by appendix H5-1 (Construction Dust Assessment – Logistics Centre at Parc Cybi) (Application Reference Number: 6.8.13), which is cross-referenced in the text where relevant.

5.2 Study area

- 5.2.1 This section describes the study areas relevant to the air quality assessment for the Logistics Centre.
- 5.2.2 The approach for defining the study area is described in chapter B5 (Application Reference Number: 6.2.5). For dust emissions during the construction and decommissioning of the Logistics Centre, the assessment of human receptors focuses on areas extending up to 350m from the site boundary (as represented by the Order Limits in figure H5-1, Application Reference Number: 6.8.29). This distance is based on Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) guidance for identifying when an assessment of dust effect is required [RD1]. Potential effects at distances greater than 350m will be less than those effects at locations closer to the site boundary and any mitigation measures applied to protect sensitive receptors within 350m would help to reduce any possible effects beyond 350m. The effects of trackout also need to be determined up to 50m from the edge of the local road network, within 500m of the Logistics Centre site entrance. Trackout is defined as the transport of dust or mud from the construction site onto the public road network, where it may be deposited and then re-suspended by vehicles using the network. In line with the IAQM guidance, the assessment has also considered relevant ecological receptors up to 50m from the site boundary.
- 5.2.3 A qualitative assessment of emissions from plant and machinery (i.e. Non-road Mobile Machinery) during construction and decommissioning of the Logistics Centre has been carried out, which considers the potential effects at the nearest receptors based on the scale of activities. Therefore, it was not

necessary to define a specific study area based on a set distance from the site for the assessment of emissions from plant and machinery.

5.3 Baseline environment

- 5.3.1 This section provides a summary of the baseline conditions for air quality within the study area described in section 5.2.
- 5.3.2 The detailed methodology and approach followed to define the baseline is outlined in appendix B5-1 (Baseline Data Synopsis Report – air quality) (Application Reference Number: 6.2.18).

Identification of key air quality receptors

- 5.3.3 This section considers the sensitive receptors that are either close to, or within, the relevant study areas for the assessment of the proposed Logistics Centre site. This includes both human and relevant ecological receptors.

Human receptors

- 5.3.4 The Logistics Centre would be located approximately 2km southeast of the centre of Holyhead, and 250m south from existing industrial and commercial premises. The area of land for the proposed Logistics Centre and areas surrounding the site to the east, south and west are currently open countryside.
- 5.3.5 The site of the proposed Logistics Centre is bounded to the northeast by a small area of open countryside, beyond which is the A55 and existing industrial and commercial premises, including Penrhos Business Park, an aluminium works and sewage works. To the southwest, the site is bounded by a road, with agricultural land to the southeast. The northern site boundary of the Logistics Centre is on average approximately 65m from the nearest carriageway of the A55.
- 5.3.6 It should be noted the Parc Cybi site has outline planning consent for the development of a number of distribution and warehousing facilities to support transport operators at the Port of Holyhead. As a worst-case approach, it has been assumed all proposed developments at Parc Cybi have been constructed prior to the construction of the Logistics Centre and considered as sensitive receptors as part of this assessment. Assuming that all of the proposed developments have been constructed, there would be several business/industrial units within 350m of the boundary of the Logistics Centre with the nearest human receptor (a proposed business/industrial unit) being within 20m of the boundary of the Logistics Centre.
- 5.3.7 There are no residential properties or Public Rights of Way within 350m of the site boundary. The closest residential property is approximately 400m to the south of the site. The Lôn Trefnath cycle path runs along the southern boundary of the Logistics Centre site. There is also a shared-use footway/cycleway which is approximately 20m from the southern boundary of the Logistics Centre site.
- 5.3.8 Figure H5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.29) shows the location of the human receptors within the study area.

Ecological receptors

- 5.3.9 There are no ecological receptors within 50m of the Logistics Centre site boundary, or within 50m of the local road network up to 500m from the site entrance.
- 5.3.10 The nearest relevant ecological receptor is Beddmanarch-Cymyran Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), an area of coastal salt-marshes, mud-flats and shallow coastal water lying between Holy Island and the mainland of Anglesey. The closest section of the Beddmanarch-Cymyran SSSI is approximately 1km southeast of the Logistics Centre site. This site lies well outside the study area of 50m considered for this assessment.

Existing air quality

- 5.3.11 The review of existing air quality set out in this chapter considers dust deposition, particulate matter (which includes PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}¹), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂) as these are the pollutants of concern in relation to construction dust or emissions from construction plant and machinery.
- 5.3.12 The review of baseline conditions indicates that the existing air quality in the vicinity of the Logistics Centre appears to be good, and concentrations of air pollutants are generally well within the relevant Air Quality Objectives (AQOs). Through the Local Air Quality Management process, the Isle of Anglesey County Council (IACC) has not identified any relevant exposure areas where the AQOs are exceeded, or could potentially be exceeded, in the vicinity of the Logistics Centre.
- 5.3.13 The review of baseline air quality for the Wylfa Newydd Project, including in the vicinity of the Logistics Centre, is set out in appendix B5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.2.18). This appendix also sets out all of the references to where the source data have been derived. The data available for each pollutant to describe the air quality baseline in the vicinity of the Logistics Centre are discussed in more detail below.

Nitrogen dioxide measurements

- 5.3.14 To characterise the environmental baseline, an air quality monitoring survey was initiated by Horizon Nuclear Power Wylfa Limited with the IACC in February 2016. The survey focused on NO₂, and comprised diffusion tube measurements at locations in the vicinity of the A55, and the other Associated Development site locations. Measurements were also carried out in the vicinity of the road network which would experience increases in traffic flows as a result of the Wylfa Newydd Project. Table H5-1 presents the measured 2016 annual mean NO₂ concentrations recorded at roadside locations close to the A55. The locations of the measurements are shown in appendix B5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.2.18).

¹ PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} is particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less and 2.5 microns or less, respectively.

Table H5-1 Summary of 2016 NO₂ diffusion tube data

Monitoring location	Approximate distance to A55	Annual mean concentration (µg/m ³) ¹
A55 at Llanfair Pwllgwyngyll (lay-by)	1m	39.7
A – A55 at Llanfair Pwllgwyngyll	3m	45.2
B – Adjacent to A55 near Junction 5 of the A55, located at an elevated location on an access road to a bridge crossing the A55	16m	9.8
C2 – A5 Holyhead Road near Junction 4 of the A55	100m	11.3
D – A5025 Valley, adjacent to the A5025	700m	15.3

Note 1: µg/m³ - Micrograms per cubic metre, the principal unit of measurement for the concentration of an air pollutant in ambient air.

- 5.3.15 The highest recorded concentrations are the two measurements adjacent to the A55. The A55 lay-by monitoring location is approximately 1m from the kerb of the A55. The latest annual mean concentration of 39.7µg/m³ recorded in 2016 is just within the annual mean AQO of 40µg/m³. An annual mean concentration of 45.2µg/m³ was also recorded at diffusion tube monitoring location A located adjacent to the A55, approximately 750m to the northeast of the lay-by monitoring location. The nearest residential property to the A55 in this area is over 20m from the kerbside. As air pollution concentrations decrease rapidly further away from a road source, the IACC estimated that the concentration at 20m from the kerbside would be much lower, at approximately 19µg/m³ [RD2].
- 5.3.16 The other monitoring locations further from the A55 are lower and well within the annual mean AQO of 40µg/m³. The data suggest that elevated concentrations of NO₂ exist in close proximity to the A55 but the concentrations decrease rapidly towards concentrations more representative of rural concentrations within a relatively short distance from the A55. The Logistics Centre is set back approximately 70m from the A55 and there are also no human receptors such as residential properties close to the A55 within 350m of the Logistics Centre (the nearest residential property 400m to the south of the Logistics Centre is over 500m from the A55). The average NO₂ concentration of 11.3µg/m³ recorded at monitoring location C2 at Holyhead Road is considered by professional judgement to be representative of concentrations at the Logistics Centre site.

PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} measurements

- 5.3.17 Measurements of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} were recorded by the IACC in 2013/14 and 2016 at, or close to, the Wylfa Newydd Development Area, approximately 15km to the northeast of the Logistics Centre. The recorded annual mean concentrations were 14.4µg/m³ and 14.9µg/m³ for PM₁₀ and 7.8µg/m³ and 7.4µg/m³ for PM_{2.5}, respectively. The monitoring locations are representative of rural locations on Anglesey, but would also contain some contribution from sea salt particles due to their location close to the northern coast.
- 5.3.18 The IACC undertakes PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} monitoring at other inland locations closer to the Logistics Centre including a location at Llynfaes approximately 14km to the east, but this is located near to a quarry and is not representative of background conditions. The IACC has also carried out monitoring at Llangefni, over 20km east of the Logistics Centre. However, this is an urban background monitoring location and not representative of conditions at the Logistics Centre.
- 5.3.19 Despite the variations in locations across Anglesey and range of location types, the measured concentrations are all relatively low and are well within the PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} annual mean AQOs of 40µg/m³ and 25µg/m³, respectively.

SO₂ and CO measurements

- 5.3.20 In common with most local authorities across the UK, no relevant measurements of SO₂ and CO have been undertaken by the IACC.
- 5.3.21 In general, concentrations of these pollutants are relatively low and are highly unlikely to exceed the AQOs. Most local authorities across the UK do not monitor these pollutants unless there is a specific requirement such as the presence of a significant industrial source. Concentrations would be expected to be well below the relevant AQOs in the vicinity of the Logistics Centre.

Dust deposition measurements

- 5.3.22 In 2012, 2013 and 2016, the IACC carried out measurements of dust deposition at several locations in the vicinity of the Wylfa Newydd Development Area, approximately 16km to the northeast of the Logistics Centre site. The measured dust deposition rates ranged from 25.8 milligrams per square metre per day (mg/m²/day) to 35.8mg/m²/day based on monthly measurements. These were reported by the IACC to be indicative of dust deposition levels for 'open country', and are well below the levels of dust deposition that could possibly affect amenity. Suggested guidelines for the level of dust deposition which may give rise to complaints range from 140mg/m²/day for open countryside to 200mg/m²/day for residential areas and outskirts of towns [RD3]. Dust deposition rates above 200mg/m²/day could also affect sensitive vegetation [RD4]. The value for indicating when complaints are likely, based on site-specific baseline measurement data in the vicinity of the Wylfa Newydd Development Area, would be lower than the 140mg/m²/day value as the baseline measurements are generally lower than the UK-wide rural dataset. The measurements are also below the levels of dust deposition rates that could potentially affect sensitive vegetation.

- 5.3.23 The dust deposition measurements recorded in the vicinity of the Wylfa Newydd Development Area would be broadly representative of the dust deposition in most rural locations on Anglesey that are not close to specific sources of dust. As discussed above, the majority of sensitive locations in the vicinity of the Logistics Centre are some distance from the A55 and would generally be considered as rural locations. Some of the proposed business/industrial units would be a similar distance from the A55 as the Logistics Centre. As there are no other significant sources of dust emissions near to the Logistics Centre, the dust deposition at nearby receptors would be expected to be similar to the rural measurements recorded in the vicinity of the Wylfa Newydd Development Area.

Background mapping data

- 5.3.24 The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and the devolved administrations produce empirically derived background maps of pollutant concentrations. The 2013 background map concentrations for NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} and 2001 background map concentrations for SO₂ and CO for the 1km by 1km grid square representing the Logistics Centre site and surrounding area are shown in table H5-2.

Table H5-2 Summary of background map concentrations

Pollutant	Annual mean concentration (µg/m ³)
NO ₂	7.7
PM ₁₀	11.6
PM _{2.5}	7.9
SO ₂	2.1
CO	146

- 5.3.25 The background map concentrations would generally be representative of concentrations experienced away from pollution sources. For example, NO₂ concentrations measured close to a road would be higher than the background map concentration for the same 1km by 1km grid square. This is evidenced in the comparison of the 2013 background map concentration of 7.7µg/m³ listed in table H5-2 compared to the measurement of 11.3µg/m³ recorded by diffusion tube C2 at a similar distance from the A55 as the Logistics Centre. The measured NO₂ concentration would be considered to be more representative of existing concentrations at the Logistics Centre site. At receptors which are much further from the A55, the existing concentrations would be more similar to the background map concentration.
- 5.3.26 The background map PM₁₀ concentrations are lower than the measured PM₁₀ concentrations recorded at the Wylfa Newydd Development Area (14.4µg/m³ and 14.9µg/m³). Although these measurements are likely to contain some contribution from sea salt particles which could explain the higher concentrations, the background map concentrations are not considered to be representative of existing PM₁₀ concentrations at receptors close to the Logistics Centre. For PM_{2.5}, the background map concentrations are similar to the measured concentrations.

- 5.3.27 For CO and SO₂, in the absence of monitored data, the background map concentrations are considered to be representative of the background concentrations. These pollutants are not generally associated with road traffic emissions. Unlike NO₂, the concentrations of these pollutants would be similar to the background concentrations.

Evolution of the air quality baseline

- 5.3.28 The evolution of baseline air quality is summarised in section 5.4 of chapter B5 (Application Reference Number: 6.2.5) and described in detail in appendix B5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.2.18). This concluded that using existing data to represent the background concentrations of pollutants for the future year assessments was a suitably conservative approach.
- 5.3.29 The existing concentrations of pollutants at receptors close to the A55 would increase due to the additional road traffic associated with the Wylfa Newydd Project. This is assessed within chapter C4 (Application Reference Number: 6.3.4).

Summary

- 5.3.30 At locations in the vicinity of the Logistics Centre where there are sensitive human receptors present, air quality is generally good and concentrations of pollutants are well below the relevant AQOs.
- 5.3.31 The construction dust assessment requires the existing PM₁₀ concentration to determine the sensitivity of the area for the assessment of potential human health effects. The highest measured PM₁₀ concentration of the measurements recorded at, or close to, the Wylfa Newydd Development Area (a concentration of 14.9µg/m³) was used to represent the background PM₁₀ concentration at the receptor locations close to the Logistics Centre, which are mostly relatively rural locations, in order to take a conservative approach. In accordance with the IAQM methodology [RD1], the contribution from other local sources was also taken into account. In this case, the contribution to the background concentration from road traffic emissions on the A55 (which was anticipated to occur during the construction phase of the Logistics Centre) was included using dispersion modelling. This resulted in a maximum predicted total PM₁₀ concentration of 15.7µg/m³ at any of the relevant receptors around Junction 2 of the A55 close to the Logistics Centre. Details of the dispersion modelling of road traffic emissions are provided in chapter C4 (Application Reference Number: 6.3.4).

5.4 Design basis and activities

- 5.4.1 This section sets out the design basis for the assessment of effects. It sets out where any assumptions have been made to enable the assessment to be carried out at this stage in the evolution of the design. This section also identifies the embedded and good practice mitigation that will be adopted to reduce adverse effects as inherent design features or by implementation of standard industry good working practice.
- 5.4.2 As described in chapter H1 (proposed development) (Application Reference Number: 6.8.1), the application for development consent is based on a

parameter approach. The assessment described within this chapter has taken into consideration the flexibility afforded by the parameters. A worst case scenario has therefore been assessed from an air quality perspective within the parameters described in chapter H1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.1).

5.4.3 The potential emission sources of air pollutants and dust associated with the proposed Logistics Centre that are considered within this chapter are:

- construction phase – emissions to air of pollutants from plant and machinery (primarily Non-road Mobile Machinery) and dust emissions generated by activities such as earthworks or non-road vehicle movements on dusty surfaces; and
- decommissioning phase – emissions of air pollutants from plant and machinery and dust generated from decommissioning activities.

5.4.4 As all road traffic-related air quality effects are assessed in chapter C4 (Application Reference Number: 6.3.4), there are no air quality effects associated with the operational phase of the Logistics Centre considered in this chapter.

Construction

5.4.5 The design and construction of the Logistics Centre would be in accordance with the description provided in chapter H1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.1). The main elements that could affect air quality relate to the emissions of pollutants or dust during the construction of the Logistics Centre.

Basis of assessment and assumptions

5.4.6 For dust emissions, the assessment was undertaken on the basis that all activities, as categorised within the IAQM guidance [RD1] (i.e. demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout), take place at the boundary of the Logistics Centre. This represents a conservative assumption, as in practice most activities would not take place at the site boundary, thus increasing the distance between the source and the receptor.

5.4.7 The diesel-powered construction plant and machinery operating on site at the various stages over the construction period are anticipated to consist of a mixture of the following types:

- 20 tonne bulldozer;
- 22 tonne and 20 tonne excavator;
- 23 tonne dumper truck;
- tipper lorry;
- 8 tonne wheeled backhoe loader;
- vibratory roller;
- road planer;
- fork lift;
- 105 tonne and 55 tonne mobile crane;

- piling rig;
- concrete pump; and
- wheel wash.

5.4.8 An average of less than eight construction plant and machinery items is anticipated to be in operation simultaneously during the period when the main construction plant are operating. The maximum number of plant and machinery in operation at any one time during the construction phase is 15, which occurs for just one month of the construction programme during the overlap of laying of hardcore and road surface, piling and construction of the site buildings and structures.

5.4.9 The plant number and types were estimated by experienced construction engineers based on the proposed construction activities and programme. There is potential for minor variations in the plant types or plant numbers from those presented. However, any minor variations in the plant type or number would not affect the outcome of the assessment presented in this chapter.

Embedded mitigation

5.4.10 No embedded mitigation has been identified for air quality during construction of the Logistics Centre.

Good practice mitigation

5.4.11 The assessment process has identified the good practice mitigation which would be required to control the effects of dust emissions during construction. A suite of good practice mitigation measures recommended by the IAQM guidance [RD1] is set out in section 7 of appendix H5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.13). The relevant and appropriate measures to mitigate dust emissions generated by the construction works have been taken forward from those set out in appendix H5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.13) to the air quality management strategies within the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11). A summary of some of the measures are set out below.

- Plan site layout so that machinery and dust generating activities are located as far as practicable from nearby sensitive receptors.
- Control site runoff of water or mud.
- No bonfires and burning of waste materials.
- Comprehensive measures and working methods to prevent and reduce dust emissions at their source, including but not limited to:
 - where there is a risk of dust nuisance, use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques;
 - ensuring an adequate water supply for effective dust/particulate matter suppression/ mitigation

- where there is a risk of dust nuisance, control drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate;
 - ensuring equipment is readily available on site to clean any dry spillages, clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable using wet cleaning methods where appropriate;
 - where there is a risk of dust nuisance, using enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips, where practicable;
 - using water suppression during demolition activities and on internal site haul roads;
 - implementing a wheel-washing system (with rumble grids) to reduce trackout; and
 - sheeting of vehicles containing dusty/friable materials when entering and leaving the site.
- Dust deposition monitoring survey and visual inspections of the site and works (including the site boundary and off-site locations) to check compliance with dust management procedures and effectiveness of the mitigation measures and dust controls.
 - Develop and implement procedures for liaising with stakeholders (including the local community and the IACC), including procedures and protocols for receiving complaints and subsequent investigations and responses.
 - Construction workers would be trained as appropriate to increase their awareness of environmental concerns including dust management.

5.4.12 The measures to control dust emissions and monitor the effectiveness of the mitigation are specified within the air quality management strategies in the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11). This has been developed and informed by the measures recommended as part of the IAQM guidance [RD1].

5.4.13 The mitigation which is considered to represent good practice for the control of emissions from plant and machinery includes the following:

- no idling engines;
- use lower power settings where practicable;
- using mains electricity or battery-powered equipment where practicable to avoid the use of petrol or diesel generators;
- the average emissions across the fleet of relevant Non-road Mobile Machinery would be equivalent to the EU Stage IIIB emission standards (EC Directive 97/68/EC) introduced in January 2011 for the engine sizes relevant to the works; and

- maintenance of construction plant and machinery in accordance with the manufacturers' instructions to reduce the risk of elevated emissions due to poor engine/emissions abatement performance, and to ensure that any malfunctions are swiftly repaired.

5.4.14 The mitigation to reduce pollutant emissions are included in the air quality management strategies set out within the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11).

Operation

5.4.15 Traffic-related air quality effects are assessed in chapter C4 (Application Reference Number: 6.3.4). There are no other potentially significant air quality effects associated with the operational phase of the Logistics Centre.

Decommissioning

5.4.16 The decommissioning of the Logistics Centre would be in accordance with the description provided in chapter H1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.1). The main elements that could affect air quality relate to the emissions of dust during the decommissioning.

Basis of assessment and assumptions

5.4.17 The potential effects on air quality during the decommissioning of the Logistics Centre once the Power Station is operational would be similar to the construction phase. There would also be some demolition activities required for the removal of the Logistics Centre buildings or structures which could lead to dust emissions.

5.4.18 A detailed programme of decommissioning works, including plant list and quantities of materials, are not available, since these activities would commence at the end of the operating stage of the Logistics Centre.

5.4.19 For the purposes of this assessment, it has been assumed that the magnitude of air quality effects associated with the decommissioning activities would be less than that associated with the construction activities due to the following:

- there would be a lower or no requirement for earthworks; and
- not all construction materials brought to the site would need to be removed, for example packaging, pallets and any other materials which will have been removed during construction.

Embedded mitigation

5.4.20 No embedded mitigation has been identified for air quality during decommissioning of the Logistics Centre.

Good practice mitigation

- 5.4.21 A range of good practice mitigation measures, such as those detailed for the construction phase regarding emissions of dust as set out in paragraphs 5.4.11 to 5.4.13, would also be employed during the decommissioning stage.

5.5 Assessment of effects

- 5.5.1 This section presents the findings of the assessment of effects associated with the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Logistics Centre.

Construction

Emissions of dust

- 5.5.2 The assessment of the potential effects from dust emissions during the construction of the proposed Logistics Centre is set out in full in appendix H5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.13).

Human receptors

- 5.5.3 The assessment has identified that there are potentially sensitive dust receptors located near to the western and southern boundary of the Logistics Centre site. The sensitivity of the area, which takes into consideration the number and distance of receptors from the site and baseline conditions, is summarised as being low sensitivity with respect to emissions of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} and medium sensitivity with respect to changes in dust deposition rates and associated effects on amenity.
- 5.5.4 Consideration of the meteorological conditions has identified that there is the potential for dust generated on-site to be blown towards receptors. However, the receptors are mainly to the south and southeast and therefore will be upwind more frequently.
- 5.5.5 The scale of the works has been used to judge the dust emission magnitude for the different types of dust generating activities. Prior to good practice mitigation measures being implemented, these are medium dust emission magnitudes for earthworks and trackout activities, and small dust emission magnitudes for demolition and construction activities.
- 5.5.6 When combining the sensitivity of the area and the dust emission magnitudes following the IAQM guidance [RD1], it is considered that the proposed earthwork activities and trackout associated with the construction of the Logistics Centre are a low risk for human health effects as there is limited potential for emissions of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} to increase baseline concentrations to a value that is above the AQO set for the protection of human health. Demolition and construction activities were assessed as a negligible risk. For potential dust soiling effects, there is predicted to be a medium risk from earthworks, principally due to the potential presence of the other Parc Cybi developments adjacent to the site. There is the potential for infrequent, short term episodes when baseline dust deposition rates could be increased by an amount that could be perceived at nearby locations. There is a low risk from

- demolition, construction and trackout activities to cause significant dust soiling effects.
- 5.5.7 The dust risks summarised above for each activity were used to identify the recommended level of good practice mitigation and control measures as part of the dust assessment (appendix H5-1, Application Reference Number: 6.8.13). The proposed mitigation measures to be implemented are set out in the air quality management strategies within Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11) and summarised earlier in this chapter. Some measures set out in appendix H5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.13) that are considered to be not applicable or practicable (as the IAQM guidance covers a wide variety of development types and locations) have not been taken forward into the air quality management strategies within the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11). Other measures have also been amended to make them specific to the construction activities.
- 5.5.8 Although the risks of causing adverse health effects or dust annoyance during earthworks are medium and low, mitigation methods are available to manage emissions of dust so that the potential for significant off-site effects do not occur (i.e. during extended periods of dry weather and high wind speeds or other abnormal events). Such measures are considered to be no more than normal good practice that would be adopted by any contractor meeting the requirements of the air quality management strategies set out in the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11). It is considered that there are no potentially dust generating activities proposed that could not be managed using normal good practices [RD1] so as to prevent significant effects at any off-site receptor, including those located within 20m of the boundary.
- 5.5.9 This should be considered in conjunction with the analysis of local climatic conditions which shows that the likelihood of dust being emitted by wind erosion and being transported to off-site receptor locations is relatively low.
- 5.5.10 IAQM guidance [RD1] notes that, with the application of good practice mitigation measures of the type available for use on this project, the environmental effect will not be significant at any off-site receptor. IAQM guidance [RD1] also notes that, even with a rigorous package of mitigation measures in place, such as is proposed in the air quality management strategies set out in the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11), occasional impacts may occur. The air quality management strategies set out in the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11) provide a framework by which the level of mitigation is adapted to respond proactively (such as the use of additional mitigation measures) to the changing risk of dust emissions, so that significant effects are prevented.
- 5.5.11 Therefore, with the mitigation measures applied as specified in the air quality management strategies within the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application

Reference Number: 8.11), notwithstanding the measures amended or not taken forward from the appendix H5-1 (Application Reference Number: 6.8.13), the likely effect of dust emissions on human health and amenity during construction is concluded to be not significant.

Ecological receptors

- 5.5.12 The risks of effects from dust on relevant ecological receptors during the construction of the Logistics Centre were screened out from the assessment as there are no relevant ecological receptors within 50m of the site or within 50m of the access roads up to 500m from the site entrance. On this basis, the effects of dust on the nearest relevant ecological receptor, Beddmanarch-Cymyran SSSI, located approximately 1km to the southeast would be negligible and not significant.

Emissions from plant and machinery

- 5.5.13 IAQM guidance [RD1] specifies the following in relation to the assessment of emissions to air from construction plant and machinery:
- “Experience of assessing the exhaust emissions from on-site plant (also known as Non-road Mobile Machinery or NRMM) and site traffic suggests that they are unlikely to make a significant impact on local air quality, and in the vast majority of cases they will not need to be quantitatively assessed.”
- 5.5.14 Based on the phased construction programme, together with the relatively low number and size of plant and machinery items anticipated to operate simultaneously on-site over an area of approximately three hectares (an average of less than eight and maximum of 15 plant items, as discussed in paragraph 5.4.8) and low background air quality concentrations at locations of relevant exposure for the assessment (as discussed in paragraph 5.3.30), the potential effect on local air quality at human and ecological receptors in the vicinity of the site would be negligible. On this basis, and in line with the IAQM guidance [RD1], this aspect was screened out from requiring a detailed assessment, and the effect on air quality from construction plant and machinery emissions is considered to be not significant.

Decommissioning

Emissions of dust

- 5.5.15 The potential for generating dust emissions is likely to be lower than during the construction stage, as most of the decommissioning activities would include the demolition/removal of the buildings/structures. It is anticipated that the scale of earthworks required for the decommissioning stage would be smaller than during construction.
- 5.5.16 Therefore, taking into account that similar good practice mitigation measures to those proposed for the construction phase would be implemented, it is concluded that the effects at both human and ecological receptors are categorised as not significant.
- 5.5.17 It is envisaged that the decommissioning would be undertaken in accordance with measures and strategies similar to those set out in the air quality management strategies set out in the Wylfa Newydd CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.6) and Logistics Centre sub-CoCP (Application Reference Number: 8.11) for the construction stage, and including other relevant good practice guidelines in force at the time of decommissioning.
- 5.5.18 In summary, it is assumed that there could still be up to a medium risk of effect for some of the decommissioning activities, but it is envisaged that these would be effectively mitigated to be not significant by the implementation of the good practice mitigation measures, similar to those which would be used for the construction phase.

Emissions from plant and machinery

Human and ecological receptors

- 5.5.19 The decommissioning activities would be smaller in scale than those during the construction stage. As these would be in the future, emissions of pollutants from the plant and machinery would be similar or lower than during construction (as more stringent emission standards are introduced for newer plant over the next 10 years).
- 5.5.20 The plant list and programme for the decommissioning activities have not yet been compiled. However, these decommissioning activities are likely to be lesser in scale than those during the construction stage. On this basis, this aspect has been screened out from requiring a detailed assessment in line with the IAQM guidance [RD1], and the effect is considered to be not significant.

5.6 Additional mitigation

- 5.6.1 In accordance with chapter B1 (introduction to the assessment process) (Application Reference Number: 6.2.1), good practice mitigation measures relevant to air quality were taken into account when determining the ‘pre-mitigation’ significance of effects. These are detailed in the design basis and activities section of this chapter.
- 5.6.2 As no potentially significant effects have been identified, no additional mitigation measures are proposed.

5.7 Residual effects

- 5.7.1 This assessment has shown that, taking into account the good practice mitigation, there are no potentially significant effects and there is no requirement for additional mitigation.

5.8 References

Table H5-3 Schedule of references

ID	Reference
RD1	Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM). 2016. <i>IAQM Guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction</i> . Version 1.1. London: Institute of Air Quality Management.
RD2	Isle of Anglesey County Council (IACC). 2016. <i>2016 Air Quality Progress Report for Isle of Anglesey County Council, Draft Report</i> . Llangefni: Isle of Anglesey County Council.
RD3	Vallack, H.W. and Shillito, D.E. 1998. Suggested guidelines for deposited ambient dust. <i>Atmospheric Environment</i> , 32(16), pp. 2737–2744.
RD4	Environment Agency. 2003. Assessment of noise disturbance upon birds and dust on vegetation and invertebrate species. Report Ref. 6502-E.075EA.